

Docket No.: S1459.70047US00
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Masahiro Morooka et al.
Serial No.: 10/511,012
Confirmation No.: 6931
Filed: June 13, 2005
For: SOLID ELECTROLYTE PHOTOVOLTAIC CONVERTER AND
PROCESS FOR PRODUCING THE SAME
Examiner: Alexander S. Tumminelli
Art Unit: 1795

Certificate of Electronic Filing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: 12-17-10

Signature: Scott R. Whittemore

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR
ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 CFR 1.104(E)**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Applicant has received the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance with the September 20, 2010, Notice of Allowance regarding the above-identified application. Entry of the Statement into the record should not be construed as an expression by Applicant of any agreement with, or acquiescence in, any of the reasoning stated by the Examiner.

The Examiner's Statement was not prepared by Applicant and is not adopted by the Applicant. Thus, any interpretation with respect to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, and any implications, should not be imputed to the Applicant.

The Statement seeks to characterize the prior art Yonehara such that the only difference between Yonehara and the claims is the fact that Yonehara forms a polymer via a photoinitiation process, whereas the claims require polymerization in accordance with a Michael addition reaction. While Applicants agree that this is a difference between Yonehara and the claims, Applicants do not agree that this is the only difference. For example, the Statement indicates that Yonehara discloses a first compound having at least two isocyanate groups. By

contrast, each of independent claims 1 and 8 requires a first compound having at least three isocyanate groups.

It is Applicant's belief that the Statement for Reasons of Allowance is not meant to limit or restrict the manner in which the claims should be interpreted in any way, and is merely meant to explain that the claims are patentable because the limitations recited thereby are not taught or suggested in the prior art of record. If the Examiner disagrees, he is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned so that the record can be clarified in this respect.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 23/2825 under Docket No. S1459.70047US00 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: December 17, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By Randy J. Pritzker

Randy J. Pritzker

Registration No.: 35,986

WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.

Federal Reserve Plaza

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

(617) 646-8000